UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

BLAKE HIGGINS,)	
)	
	Plaintiff,)	
)	
vs.)	Case No. 11-CV-090-JHP-TLW
)	
STATE AUTO PROPERTY	&)	
CASUALTY INSURANCE)	
COMPANY,)	
)	
	Defendant.)	

DEFENDANT STATE AUTO PROPERTY & CASUALTY INSURANCE COMPANY'S OBJECTIONS TO PLAINTIFF'S DESIGNATIONS (BRIAN J. BLAUW)

Defendant State Auto Property & Casualty Insurance Company ("STATE AUTO") submits its Objections to Plaintiff's Designations of the Deposition of Brian Blauw, pursuant to this Court's Order at the pretrial conference conducted on May 31, 2012. Counsel for STATE AUTO believes that they fulfilled their duty to meet and confer, in a good faith effort to resolve disputes pursuant to LCvR 30.1, but were unable to reach an agreement. STATE AUTO requests that this Court exclude the testimony based on the specific objections contained herein, but subject to this Court's rulings on the pending Motions in Limine.

Testimony Designated by Plaintiff	<u>Objections</u>
8:2-4, 6	Calls for speculation; Opinion without personal knowledge; Ambiguous/vague; Foundation; Lack of knowledge
10:19-21, 23- 25, 11:1	No question; Calls for narrative; Ambiguous/vague; Foundation; Relevance
11:5-7, 8, 10	Argumentative; Relevance; Foundation; Prejudicial

13:23-25, 14:2-	Relevance; Foundation; Prejudicial
16:17-21, 23	Argumentative; Leading; Relevance; Foundation; Mischaracterizes prior testimony; Unintelligible
16:25,17:1-2, 4- 7	Relevance; Foundation; Calls for narrative; No question posed
17:13-15, 17	Leading; Argumentative; Relevance; Foundation; Assumes facts not in evidence; Prejudicial
17:19-22, 24- 25, 18:1-4	Mischaracterizes prior testimony; Argumentative; Leading; Relevance; Foundation; Prejudicial
18:18-20, 22	Lack of recall/knowledge; Relevance; Foundation; Ambiguous/vague
18:24-25, 19:1	Lack of recall/knowledge; Relevance; Foundation; Ambiguous/vague
22:16-17, 18	Foundation; Relevance; Assumes facts not in evidence
23:12-14, 17-19	Calls for narrative; Vague/ambiguous; Foundation
25:22-24, 26:2- 6	Relevance; Foundation; Vague/ambiguous
26:15-16, 18-22	Calls for legal conclusion; Foundation; Vague/ambiguous
27:15-17, 19-23	Foundation; Vague/ambiguous; Calls for narrative; Asked and answered
28:9-12, 14-20	Calls for speculation; Opinion without personal knowledge; Vague/ambiguous; Foundation
28:22-23, 25, 29:1-3	Leading; Argumentative; Assumes facts not in evidence; Foundation; Lack of knowledge/recall
29:20-22, 24- 25, 30:1-2	Argumentative; Relevance; Foundation; Ambiguous/vague
30:4-5, 6	Argumentative; Relevance; Foundation; Lack of knowledge
30:16-18, 20	Mischaracterizes prior testimony; Argumentative; Leading; Prejudicial
31:3-5, 7-10	Calls for narrative; Leading; Foundation; Ambiguous/vague
34:17-19, 21	Relevance; Foundation; Ambiguous/vague
35:6-9, 11-18	Argumentative; Leading; Foundation; Relevance; Prejudicial

35:20-22, 23- 25, 36:1	Foundation; Relevance; Ambiguous/vague; Prejudicial	
36:8-9, 11	Argumentative; Leading; Calls for legal conclusion; Calls for speculation; Calls for opinion without personal knowledge	
37:1-4, 6-8	Relevance; Foundation; Calls for speculation; Calls for pinion without personal knowledge; Ambiguous; Prejudicial	
37:22-24, 38:1	Foundation; Relevance	
39:17, 19-20	Foundation; Relevance	
43:10-12, 14	Foundation; Relevance; Assumes facts not in evidence	
44:3-4 , 7-9	Foundation; Relevance; Calls for speculation; Calls for opinion without personal knowledge; Lack of knowledge	
45:9, 11	Leading; Argumentative; Relevance; Foundation; Assumes facts not in evidence	
50:19-22, 24	Foundation; Relevance; Lack of knowledge; Vague/ambiguous	
51:7-9. 11-12	Foundation; Relevance; Vague/ambiguous	
52:7-9, 11-17	Calls for speculation; Relevance; Leading	
52:19-22, 24	Argumentative; Leading; Relevance; Foundation	
53:4, 6	Relevance; Calls for speculation; Calls for opinion without personal knowledge; Foundation	
53:8-9, 11-14	Relevance; Foundation; Calls for speculation	
53:16-17, 18, 22-23	Foundation; Vague/ambiguous; Calls for speculation	
53:25,54:1-2, 5- 6	Mischaracterizes prior testimony; Relevance; Foundation; Lack of recall; Leading; Argumentative	
56:9-10, 12-13	Assumes facts not in evidence; Argumentative; Leading; Foundation; Relevance	
56:15, 17-18	Asked and answered; Foundation; Relevance	
57:4-6, 8	Foundation; Relevance; Argumentative; Leading; Prejudicial	
57:24-25, 58:1, 3-7	Foundation; Relevance; Vague/ambiguous	

Leading; Argumentative; Foundation; Asked and answered; Relevance
Foundation; Relevance
Calls for speculation; Opinion without personal knowledge; Lack of knowledge; Foundation; Relevance
Argumentative; Leading; Relevance; Foundation; Assumes facts not in evidence
Asked and answered; Lack of knowledge; Foundation; Relevance; Vague/ambiguous
Calls for speculation; Narrative; Asked and answered; Opinion without personal knowledge; Foundation; Relevance; Lack of knowledge
Mischaracterizes prior testimony; Relevance; Foundation; Argumentative; Prejudicial
Foundation; Relevance; Calls for speculation; Prejudicial
Foundation; Relevance; Assumes facts not in evidence; Arguemntative; No question posed
Foundation; Relevance; Prejudicial
Argumentative; Vague/ambiguous; Asked and answered
Argumentative; Leading; Foundation; Relevance
Foundation; Ambiguous/vague; Relevance
No question posed; Calls for narrative; Relevance; Lack of recall/knowledge; Asked and answered
Foundation; Relevance; Calls for speculation; Vague/ambiguous
Foundation; Relevance
Leading; Argumentative; Foundation; Relevance; Assumes facts not in evidence
Calls for speculation; Foundation; Relevance; Prejudicial
Relevance; Foundation; Vague/ambiguous
Lack of knowledge/ recall; Calls for speculation; Calls for opinion without personal knowledge; Vague; Foundation; Relevance; Prejudicial

76:14-15, 17-21	Relevance; Foundation; Vague/ambiguous; Lack of personal knowledge
77:24, 78:1	Relevance; Foundation
78:5-8, 10	Leading; Relevance; Foundation
78:12-14, 16	Relevance; Foundation; Prejudicial
78:18-19, 20	Argumentative; Leading; Relevance; Foundation
80:6-7, 9-11	Relevance; Foundation; Vague/ambiguous; Lack of knowledge/recall; Prejudicial
80:19-20, 21	Leading; Argumentative; Foundation; Relevance; Calls for speculation
80:25, 81:1	Leading; Argumentative; Relevance; Prejudicial
81:13-14, 16	Foundation; Relevance; Vague/ambiguous
81:22, 24	Foundation; Relevance
82:6-8, 10	Argumentative; Leading; Foundation; Relevance
82:12, 14	Calls for speculation; Calls for opinion without personal knowledge; Foundation; Relevance; Prejudicial
82:16-17, 19-20	Foundation; Relevance; Lack of knowledge/recall; Prejudicial.
82:22, 24	Asked and answered; Relevance; Prejudicial
83:4, 6-11	Foundation; Relevance; Lack of knowledge/recall; Prejudicial

Respectfully submitted,

ROBINETT & MURPHY

By: /s/ Pansy Moore-Shrier
Lawrence R. Murphy, Jr., OBA No. 17681
Pansy Moore-Shrier, OBA No. 20289
Mariann M. Atkins, OBA No. 30475
624 South Boston Avenue, Suite 900
Tulsa, Oklahoma 74119
(918) 592-3699
(918) 592-0963 (facsimile)

Attorneys for Defendant State Auto Property & Casualty Insurance Company

Certificate of Service

I hereby certify that on June 5, 2012, I electronically transmitted the attached document to the Clerk of Court using the ECF System for filing. Based on the records currently on file, the Clerk of the Court will transmit a Notice of Electronic Filing to the following ECF registrants:

Richard S. Toon, Esq. <u>Richtoon@toonosmond.com</u>

Cyrus N. Lawyer, Esp. Natelawyer@toonosmond.com

Brian J. Goree, Esq. Briangoree@toonosmond.com

/s/ Pansy Moore-Shrier
Pansy Moore-Shrier